

Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR) Transportation System Plan (TSP) Update

Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Meeting #2

September 22, 2022 | 10:00 – 11:30 AM

Waluula & Wanaqit Conference Rooms - Nixyaawii Governance Center (46411 Timine Way)

| Attend. | Name | Org. |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Dani Schulte | CTUIR Planning |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Cheryl Jarvis-Smith | ODOT |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Bob Waldher | Umatilla Cty Planning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Megan Davchevski | Umatilla Cty Planning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Tom Fellows | Umatilla Cty Roads |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Brian Johnson | Umatilla Cty Roads |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Cindy Freston | Kayak Rider Rep |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Roberta Carver-Carson | Kayak Public Transit |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Bill Tovey | DECD |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Alaina Mildenberger | CTUIR Public Works |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Justin Northern | CTUIR Public Works |

| Attend. | Name | Org. |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sandra Alexander | CTUIR Public Works |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Patty Perry | CTUIR Planning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Shaun Oliver | USFS |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Lynette Minthorn | Yellowhawk Com. Health |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Damon McKay | Yellowhawk Com. Health |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Dave Franklin | Wildhorse Resort & Casino |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Nick Foster | Kittelson |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Molly McCormick | Kittelson |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Colin Roberts | Sera Design |
| | | |
| | | |

Meeting Purpose: The purpose of TAC Meeting #2 is to review the draft projects and their prioritization as presented in Draft Technical Memorandum #4.

Notes:

1. Introductions, Project Overview, and Outreach #1 Summary
 - a. No comments
2. Roadway System
 - a. Project list
 - i. Comment from community member at the July Grounds Gym yesterday: pleased to see paving the southern roads included. For example, Sumac Road has a grade that makes it difficult to maintain the gravel.
 1. Although, there were also two people who did not like these projects because paving could attract more drivers to an “easier” route. Gravel makes people drive slow but creates safety concerns if people try to drive faster. Gravel could be a benefit during ice with added traction but is a concern for washboarding.
 2. Construction would likely close that segment for a season.
 3. **Keep R08 as a low priority project.**
 - ii. Were residential areas looked at (for example, Walla Walla Court could use repaving)?

1. Have a list of CTUIR roads that are ranked based on pavement condition (2017 pavement condition data) that is used for using maintenance funding. This can be used without it being included in the TSP.
 - a. There are other larger project funding sources that have to be used on projects in the TSP.
 2. For background information, Walla Walla Court has not been repaved yet because of the flooding and water issues. It is rolled up in R17.
 - iii. R10 came up during the outreach yesterday. Should it be removed?
 1. After the hazard plan, only 1-2 members live over there. **Yes, it should be removed.**
 - iv. The Kash Kash Road realignment used to show a little to the west in previous plans. The extension to section from Spilya Road to Kusi Road is difficult with the current development.
 - v. ODOT is working with CTUIR to lease some property south of the interchange (around Tokti Road) to create a truck parking lot.
 1. Have a corridor plan for this area and it is included in there.
 2. Would have a shuttle service from the parking lot to Arrowhead.
 - 3. CTUIR/ODOT to share plans with Kittelson.**
 - vi. During outreach, heard a lot about Kanine Ridge Road being the route that Google directs people to use when there is snow or incidents impacting I-84. Dani has tried to ask Google to update this.
- b. Policies
- i. No comments.
- c. Local road connections
- i. Bill has some concerns with this visual and its impacts on negotiations, especially south of Mission Hub.
 - ii. **Remove the figure and add a new policy instead.**
 1. “When there are new developments, seek a connected grid system like this” (with a more theoretical street grid template graphic).
- d. Roadway cross-section standards
- i. **Add the same lane width flexibility for all options within the same classifications.**
 - ii. **For the alley cross-section, show one side as chain-link and keep one as a fence.**
- e. OR 331 area
- i. **Make the OR 331 multi-use path project less prescriptive by removing mention of either side of the road.**
 - ii. Currently saying a roundabout is preferred due to safety benefits (reduction in crashes, especially fatal and serious injuries), access management, and traffic calming (slowing speeds through this area). It also provides an opportunity for a gateway treatment.
 1. Mixed reactions about roundabouts from the public.

-
2. Would need to make sure it can support wind turbine movements, which should only be through movements.
 - iii. There is support for the right-in, right-out restriction at Arrowhead's southern entrance. Lots of incidents and one serious injury pedestrian crash in that segment.
 - iv. Definitely want a pedestrian connection from Mission Hub to Arrowhead area.
3. Pedestrian System
- a. Project list
 - i. Several projects are fundable through Safe Routes to School (SRTS) program.
 - ii. Great idea to have a multi-use path connection to Pendleton.
 1. The Mission Road alignment might be the better option because there are levies.
 2. There were opposing concerns from the Youth Council when discussing this potential project: appreciate the safety and comfort provided by eyes on the road if along Mission Road but do want access to the river.
 3. If along the river, could there be safety amenities included (emergency call boxes, security cameras, etc.)? Similar to the call boxes on campuses or for getting in touch if there is an emergency when swimming (Portland might have a good example from the Springwater Trail by Johnson Creek).
 - iii. For the pedestrian and bicycle systems, are all the projects tied to roadways?
 1. Most are along roadways, but not all. A lot of community members were excited by P14, like the idea of a loop. A few projects are tied to more local gravel roads, like P08.
 2. There is interest in a west loop as well, near the new Bowman neighborhood.
 - a. In the new subdivision, there are sidewalks on all the streets and the purple line on the map is a multi-use path.
 - b. This idea could create a similar land acquisition negotiation issue like the OR 331 multi-use path. **Recommend adding this as a policy instead.**
 - i. Whenever possible when property is purchased in the Mission Hub, extend the multi-use path circulation.
 - iv. Bill is concerned with some of the multi-use path alignments. The visual could make prices go up for land acquisition negotiations with landowners.
 - b. Programs/plans
 - i. No comments.
 - c. July Grounds crossing graphic is helpful to visualize the enhanced crossing projects.
4. Bicycle System
- a. Project list
 - i. Has anyone commented on the old highway?
 1. Yes, this came from a survey.
-

-
- ii. Multi-use path projects serve both pedestrians and bicycles. Lots of public interest for the OR 331 trail and the potential loop created by B03.
5. Transit System
 - a. Project list
 - i. **Ask JD if we still need to show T01 on the map now that the project is more general.**
 - b. Policies
 - i. Could adjust the shelter policy to include maintenance of the shelter as well. Or the new Parks and Transportation Coordinator could be responsible for this.
 - ii. **Talk to Bill and their economic planners to see if this is feasible for the area.**
 6. Overall policies
 - a. Want to make sure CTUIR has a plan in place for getting the cross-sections adopted and in place for use. Kittelson has a recent email from MIG to share with CTUIR.
 7. Rail System
 - a. No comments.
 8. Pipeline System
 - a. Discussion around easements. No projects need to be added.
 9. Modifications to previous plans
 - a. No comments.
 10. Project prioritization
 - a. **Update the "SRTS funding" prioritization to just represent supporting walking and biking near a school.**
 - b. **Include the SRTS plan as an appendix or as a link in the document and carry into the TSP document itself.**
 11. Next Steps and Public Outreach Opportunities
 - a. Outreach will now continue through October, including:
 - i. General Council tabling
 - ii. Participation in the Youth Council Summit
 - iii. Online outreach through the latest website page that Dani showed
 - b. These changes will push out the originally scheduled outreach deadline and the next task of the project. This does not greatly impact the overall project schedule.
 - c. **Kittelson to share an updated schedule.**